INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Review and updation of Mining Plan REPORT

Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/KNL/FE-71/HYD Mine code : 30APR11038

(i) Name of the Inspecting : M001) S K MUDULI

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Junior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri Viswanath Balkishan, Managing Partner

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 18/02/2019

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : GUTUPALI(19.94 HA)

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ANDHRA PRADESH

District : KURNOOL
Village : GUTUPALLI
Taluka : BETHAMCHERLA
Post office : GUTUPALLI
Pin Code : 518217

FAX No. : 040-23043388

E-mail : KISHAN13536@YAHOO.CO.IN

Phone : 04023043388

(f) Police Station : BETHAMCHERLA

(g) First opening date : 01/12/2009

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : PLOT NO.50, MADINAGUDA,

correspondance SERILINGAMPALLI, RANGAREDDY DISTRICT-500049

3. (a) Lease Number : APR2792
(b) Lease area : 19.94

(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 05/04/2029

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S. GUTUPALLI MINING COMPANY

PLOT NO.50, AVANTHI ENCLAVE, MADINAGUDA, SERILINGAMPALLI

RANGAREDDY RANGAREDDY

TELANGANA

Phone: 04023043388 FAX : 040-23043388

Owner : GUTUPALLY MINING COMPANY

PLOT NO.50, MADINAGUDA

SERILINGAMPALLI RANGAREDDY-500049 RANGAREDDY TELANGANA

Phone: 040-23043388 FAX : 04023043388

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

: Modif.of approved Mining Plan 22/02/2013 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 28/05/2015

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Drilled 20 Nos DTH bore holes for 407m | All the 20Nos DTH BHs not accepted as BH points are not saved and records of bore holes are not maintained. Violati on issued for 11(1) of MCDR-2017 on 22.03.2019. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | 17.13 На | G1-2.81 Ha | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | | 20 DTH bore holes 407 m-Rs 134310.00, As reported by Lessee | All the 20Nos DTH BHs not accepted as BH points are not saved and records of bore holes are not maintained. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 17.13 На | Nil | Violation issued for 11(1) of MCDR-2017 on 22.03.2019. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | 48170 tonnes after deducting 2015-16 to 2017-18 proposed production from reserves estimated as on 01.04.2015. | 91903 tonnes as on 31.03.2018 | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | The iron ore deposit is associated with Ferrugineous Quartzite and Shale. The iron ore ROM needs further segregation. All the 20Nos DTH BHs not accepted as BH points are not saved and records of bore holes are not maintained. |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | | | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | 1709387,E 181588 to E 181633 | N 1709180 to N 1709240, E 181800 to E181860 (Southern Part of Lease | Carrying as proposed | | | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Not proposed | Benches have been developed only in mineralised area | | | | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.87 | 1:1.22 | 15742 tonnes intercalated waste generated for producing 12880 tonnes of Iron Ore | | | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal as the proposed area is already broken up earlier and already benches have been developed in ore | | | | | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | | 15742 tonnes(Intercalated Waste) | | | | |
| Expl | Exploitation: | | | | | | |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | | | |

| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 3 nos | 3 nos. | In western pit 3 benches have benn developed. In central pit only one bench has been developed(In Float ore), In southern pit three benches have been developed. |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 20000 tonnes | 12880 tonnes | |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 20000 tonnes | 12880 tonnes | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | Nil | 15742 tonnes of intercalated waste generated. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not proposed. | Nil, Thresh hold value Fe%-35% | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | No proposal | Manual | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | Not carried out | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Depth of hole- 3.3,Burden- 1.5m,Spacing- 2m Series blasting with parallel rows,ANFO and Slurry Explosive,Mill isecond delay detonator relay | Depth of hole- 3.3,Burden-1.5m,Spacing- 2m ANFO and Slurry Explosive,Millisecond delay detonator relay used to reduce vibration | |

| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Excavator(1.1m 3)-2nos, Wheel Loader(1.7m3)- 1no Drilling machine-83mm dia-1no Tipper-20 tonners-2nos | Excavator(1.1m3)-1nos, Wheel Loader(1.7m3)-1no Drilling machine-83mm dia-1no Tipper-20 tonners-1nos Drilling machine-83mm dia-1no | |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | 3m | Boom height of the excavator (1.1 m3) is suitable for deployment at bench height of 3 m. | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 3.22 На | 1.90 На | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.87 | 1:1.22 | 15742 tonnes intercalated waste generated for producing 12880 tonnes of Iron Ore |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2013-14=13500 tonnes, 2014-15- 0 tonnes, 2015-16-20000 tonnes 2016-17-20000 tonnes 2017-18-20000 tonnes | 2013-14=13530 tonnes, 2014-15=13486 tonnes, 2015-16= 2242 tonnes 2016-17 = 1145 tonnes. 2017-18-12880 tonnes | |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| | | | | |
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Only OB dump proposed | OB dumping has been done | |
| 4b | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | OB dump-N 1709183 to 1709263 E 181437 to 181535 | OB dumping carried out within the proposed area | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Within the ML- 2nos | Within the ML-2nos | |

| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Out side the UPL | Out side the UPL | |
|----|--|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | 1 active and one dead | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 1no | 1no | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | 2 nos dump | 2 nos dump | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Proposed | Not carried out | Violation issued for not carrying out PMCP work u/r 11(1) of MCDR-2017 |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | wall-48m X | Not carrieed out | Violation issued for not carrying out PMCP work u/r 11(1) of MCDR-2017 |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | No settling pond | |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal | Nil | No part of the mining lease is completely exhausted of ore. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal | Nil | No part of the mining lease is completely exhausted of ore. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposal | Nil | No part of the mining lease is completely exhausted of ore for reclaimation and rehabilitation. |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted in time | Not submitted | Violation issued u/r 26(2) of MCDR-2017. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha). | No proposal | Nil | No part of the mining lease area is matured for rehabilitaion. |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 0.553 На | 0.6 На | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 850 nos | 850 nos | 35 % survival rate |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal | Nil | No part of the mining lease area is matured for backfilling. |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Proposed | Not carrying out. | Violation issued under rule 26(1) of MCDR-2017. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-------------|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Whatever is the production proposal i.e 20000 tonnes | | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | No proposal of sorting. | | Manual for separation of intercalated waste. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal | Nil | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No proposal | Nil | |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil. | Mining proposal is submitted on already broken up area and directly on mineralised float ore area. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal | No top soil produced. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Over burden dump proposed (Intercalated waste) | Over burden (Intercalated waste) dumping carried out | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal as no part of the lease area is completely exhausted of ore. | Nil | Over burden (Intercalated waste) is dumped at one place. |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Retaining wall and garland drain proposed along dump | Not carried out | Violation issued u/r 11(1) of MCDR-2017. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | | During the period from 2015-16 to 2017-18 a total of 2550 nos sapplings have been planted and the average survival rate is 30% to 35%. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | | 35% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | carrying out by deploying water tanker fitted with water sprinkler | |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | To be submitted in time and regularly | Submitted in time and regularly | |

| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | ME-DARANGULA NARAYANAPPA Geologist-Not appointed | Mining Engineer-Not appointed Geologist-Not appointed | Violation under rule 55(1)(3)(iv) of MCDR-2017 issued for not appointing Mining Engineer and Geologist. |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | | | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 850 nos | 850 nos | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Nil as reported by lessee | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | ROM stock- 27846 tonnes | ROM stock-27846 tonnes as reported by lessee | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | e%55)- | The sale price of Rs 500.00 / tonne for iron ore lumps (Fe%<55%) is less than the average sale price published by IBM in respect of Andhra Pradesh State for iron ore lumps (Fe%<55%) during 2017-18 i.e Rs 789.25/tonne. | Letter issued to state DMG regarding the issue and copy marked to principal secretary of the state. |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | Show couse position | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. Issued on Co | ompliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 26/03/2019 | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 26(1) | 26/03/2019 | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 26(2) | 26/03/2019 | | |
| Rule 45(7) | 26/03/2019 | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 55(1)(| 3; 26/03/2019 | | |

Date: (S K MUDULI)

Indian Bureau of Mines